G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1904.
(Mvzrai.)

File No. 211.

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month November 1913.

SUBJECT.

Rejecting the 1st Review application from Mahabala Bhatta and Krishna Bhatta re to the performance of Tantrika Service in the Sri Kalasamardhani temple at Kalasa.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

Mvz.7. 120 of 1907.

LATER REFERENCES.

Mvz.7. 258 of 1906. Sls. 1-2

G.R.S. 11] 191 .

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mirasi)

NOTES.

File No.

FILE HEADING.

I^st Review application from Mahabalabhatta and Krishna Bhatta re claim to the performance of Tantrika Service in Kalaseswaraswami temple at Kalasa.

From

No.	Dated	Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

GPB—35,000—15 6 12

Muzrai Secretariat. Muzrai File No. of 190

The question relating to the Hak to perform Tantrika service in the Sri Kalaseswaraswamy temple at Kalasa in the Mudgere Taluk was before the Council committee. Orders were passed and issued; and these Orders were subsequently reviewed at the request of the aggrieved party. Krishna Bhatta and others of Sringere have petitioned this office praying for a re-consideration of the foregoing orders.

Submitted.

15/9/06

I have recorded on the petn that it is transferred to the Secty to Govt Genl & Rev Depts

17/9/06

Subd

The facts of the case appear sufficiently from the decision of Govt dated the 26th September 1904 (in Appeal No 153 of 03-04)

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04.

yarmed

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04.

Serial No.

and from the order dated the 15th April 1905 passed on the 186 Review application No 194/1904-05. The present petition amounts to a Second Review of the above Govt decision but the present petitioners are Krishna bhatta and Basavabhatta (who were the second and third appellants in Appeal No 153 of 03-04) while in the first review petn the petrs were Mahabalabhatta and Krishnabhatta.

No fresh grounds have been urged in the present review petn the petrs urge that the accounts of the years previous to 1886 might show that the petrs' ancestors alone but not the respondent (counter petr) Ramajois were doing the Girija kalyana festival of Tantrika Service of Kalleswara Swami temple; that Seshabhatta (186 appellant before Govt and brother of Krishnabhatta) gave away the hulc to Ramajois in return for Basari katta Janardhana Swami Tantrika Service; and that he (Seshabhatta) had no right of alienating a family hulc;

The petrs appear to have not properly construed the meaning of the order of Govt passed on the 186 review in the said order and Vide also endt No 3781 dated 15-10-1905 the petrs were informed

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—30,000—23-10-05

Serial No.

formed that of the Basri kalle Taluka Service was kept out of their rights, they should take such separate action for the recovery thereof as to them & might seem proper. They seem to have understood the order to mean that they should recover the said Basri kalle Taluka Service in a civil suit and that the Government required to disturb the respondent from that office. The Govt order simply made no In the order of Govt the merits of the other Taluka Service was not at all gone into. The petrs might if they choose bring a separate action on the Revenue Side as regards the said (Basari kalle) Taluka Service Having apparently misconstrued the order of Govt, the petrs now pray that the order referring them to a civil suit might be rescinded (the office being a hereditary one) and the claim of the petrs disposed of in the Revenue Side.

3-10-05

It is doubtful whether there is any necessity to issue any direction to them about the interpretation of the Govt order

1164 7-10

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

Revenue
Branch. Mazg. File No. 63 of 1893.

Serial
No.

The review may be rejected both the
&
5/11/06
Jud regd the present Review Application.
Illegible
20/10/06

270
22.10.06

I concur
WWM
22/10/06

21/10/06 2164

R. 4296
29/10/1906

The entire file is returned to the Secretary to Government Mazgaon Department with the order of the council committee in the matter, for issue of an endt to pahs in terms of the above order

By order
Illegible
for Secretary to Govt
Gl and Rev. Depts.

26/10/06

C
Rs 30/10/06 Recd 29/10/06

Dft submtd.
AHJ
31/10/06

Note—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

(G

S.C

Before the Government of Mysore.

Review ~~Appeal~~ No. 19 of 1904 05

Petitioner
~~Appellant.~~

1 Mahabala Bhatta
2. Krishna Bhatta

Vs.

Counter Petr
~~Respondent.~~

Rama Joisa

Application for review G.O. No. 1114 - 2 dt 26.9.04
re claim to the performance of Tantrika Service
in Kalaseswara Swami Temple at Kalasa Mudige
Tirupati

Taluk.
Date of hearing 8-2 oth
Notice issued on 11.1.05
& Petr
duly served ---

Petitioners ex parte
They rely on a document dt 23/2/80 -
& have noted. To the
Counter Petr - to
file the 23rd Feby C.
8/2/05.

a.c. to draw the attention
to the recommendation
of the Commissioner will
duly be given to C. Petr
SVP
2/2/05

MGCPB—500—10-3-03.

Notice to C. Petr issued on 17.2.05,
duly served.

17/2/05

Serial No.

1st Petr in person & the 2nd Petr in person & by Mr. Wood. Kanniappa.

The latter admits the Kavar & produces the counterpart but contends that this claim is founded both on Mortgage and on the exchange. Order reserved. C.

23/3/05.

23/3/05.

Order.

On the merits of the case, Court does not reconsider the Order dated. Only point to be considered

It would appear that in the year 1880, there was a dispute between the parties and that an agreement was entered into under which the Petitioner's father gave up his interest if any in the Giripa Kalyana Kattalai

Serial No.

in question & ~~accepted~~ was
promised in it & that
the Ratoltchawa Lautikka
of Basori Kalle Jamandhawa-
dewani. The Petitioners
contend that the latter
has not yet been put in
~~the~~ possession by the ~~Res~~
Counter Petitioners who
on the other hand asserts
that the Petitioners have
had the enjoyment
thereof since the date
of the agreement. Which
of these allegations is
true & which false,
is a question foreign to
the present case. If the
Petitioners have been
kept out of their rights in
respect of the Second
of the Jaubikas above
referred to, it is open
to them to take such
separate action for the
recovery thereof as to them
might seem proper. In other

MGCPB—20,000—1-11-04.

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. of 190

Serial No.

words that claim cannot be advanced as a justification for the dismissal of the Council Petitioner from an office which he has been found to have long enjoyed in his own right.

This application fails and is accordingly rejected. Costs against Petitioner.

23/3/05

[illegible]

23/3/05

Accepted 29/3/05

B

To Branch I/C 24-3-1905

1765

914

From

.K.Venkata Varada Iyen- r, Esquire,

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Genl. and Rev. Depts.

To

The Secretary,

Muzrai Department.

Dated 9th November 1904.

Despatched

Received

General No. G2576

No.

Rev. 34.

Enclosure No.

Has the honour to forward herewith for disposal, a petition dated the 6th October 1904 from Mahabal Bhatta(Minor), through his guardian, Krishna Bhatta and Krishna Bhatta praying for further detailed enquiry into their claims for the Shanbhoga's place in the Kalaseswara Swamy Temple at Kalasa, Mudgere Taluk, which have been set aside by the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District.

By order,

For Secretary.

9/11/04

Refers

endrs

Enclosed for initial reports

12/11/04

Speak to me about this.

14/11/04

No: 1706 & 1716

14/11/04. 3584

Rev

This is an application for a Review of an Order passed by a Committee of the Council

Forwarded (with the File) to the Secretary to Govt, Legal & Rev Departments

14/11/04

Secretary

Muzrai Dept

Rev

15/11/04

By first registered post
31-10-04

Investment Trends Pvt. Ltd.

6.10.04

Ref. Your Ltr. dt.

Enclosures

ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳು

ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ

Urgent -

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Dy. Commr
Kadur District.

Dated

Despatched 10. 2. 05.

Received

General No. 2861
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

Has the honor to request that the records returned with G. O no. 1114 dated 26 September 1904 may be ~~returned~~ resubmitted to us to reach this Office on or before the 10th Proximo, as a Review application presented by Mahabala Bhatta and Krishna Bhatta has been posted to 23rd inst for hearing.

By order,

8/2/05

Secretary

Draft
SKR
8/2/05.

M. G. P. B.—1,000-3-10-04.

Page

1905.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To The Secretary to the Govt
of H.H. the Maharaja of
Mysore, Inam & Department

Dated 15th February 1905.

Despatched

Received.

General No. 434
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file 2 v.

Rupees
Rs
20/2/05



Give this to the Clerk
of the Council Committee
obtaining a receipt
25/2/05

Submitting herewith the records connected with the "Janabu Ka" service in the Sri Kalaseswara Swamy temple at Kalasa in the Mudigere Taluk.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Kadur District
Dated Chikmagalur 15th February 1905

From,

M. Narain Rao Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To. L Anantasami Rao Esquire B.A.
Secretary to the Government of
H H the Maharaja of Mysore
Mewyai department.

Sir,

In reply to your Docket no. 2861 dated 10th instant, I have the honor to submit herewith the records connected with the "Jantrika" Service in the Sri Kalasiswara Swamy temple at Kalasa in the Mudigere Taluk.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient servant

M Narain Rao
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

ಸನ್ 190 3 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ——— ನಂಬರು 19

04

ಅಪೀಲಿದಾರ. ಅಪೀಲಿಗೆ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ.

ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ ಮೆಸ್ಟರ್ ಎಲ್. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸ್ಯೆಯಂಗಾರ್ ಮತ್ತು
ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ ಮೆಸ್ಟರ್ ಸಿ. ಯಸ್. ದೊರಸಾಮ್ಯೆಯ್ಯರ್ } ಇವರುಗಳಿಗೆ.

ಬರಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಫೈನಲ್ ಹೊಂದತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಯೂ, ಮತ್ತು ನನಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಡಿಕರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ರಸೀದಿ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹಾ ತಮಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ತಾವು ನನಿಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ನಡಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲು ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು ನೇ 190 }

ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರ್‌ನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಿಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯುಸ್ಥರು ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಯಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಸರಟಫೈ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

V. D. Press, Bangalore 1903

3496

6793

Rev

(G.)

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Review appn Appeal No. 19 of 1904-05.

Petitioners
~~Appellants.~~

1. Mahabala Bhatta
2. Krishna Bhatta

Vs.

Counter Petitioner
~~Respondent.~~

Ramanayis

SUBJECT.

Application for a review of Govt. Decision ~~order~~ dated the 26th September 1904 passing that of the Deputy Commissioner of the Kadur adjudging to the Counter petitioner the hereditary performance of Service in the Kalaseswara Swami Temple at Kalasa during the Girija Kalyana festival.

Subd for appl
may be printed
29/3/05

To Commissioner
& 4-3-1905

2275
29/3/05

3

Approved - Print.
29/3/05

To 2d Commissioner
& 30-3-1905

3

30/3

31/3/05

M G C.P.B. 1000 -28-1-05

Order.

On the merits of the case Govt. see no reason to reconsider the decision ~~sought~~ of the Revenue

To Amuktha
Appl
& 31/3/1905
1/4/05

2. It would appear that in the year 1880, there was a dispute between the parties and that an agreement was entered into under which the Petitioners' father gave up his interest, if any, in the Ginjakkaly and Tantrika in question and was promised in its stead the Ratotchava tantrika of Basari Katte' Janardanaswami. The Petitioners contend that the latter have not ever been put in their possession by the Counter-Petitioner who on the other hand asserts that the petitioners have had the enjoyment there of since the date of the agreement. Which of these allegations is true and which false, is a

present case. If the Petitioners have been kept out of their rights in respect of the second of the Tantikas above referred to, it is open to them to take such separate action for the recovery thereof as to them might seem proper. In other words that claim cannot be advanced as a justification for the erection of the Counter-Petitioner from an office which he has been found to have long enjoyed on his own right.

3. This application fails and is accordingly rejected.

No. 3780 D 15-4-05 Empire file

Copy of the above is for-
warded to the Deputy
Commissioner of the
Kaiser District, together
with the words received
with his letter No. 434
dated 15th February 1905

Secretary to Govt.
in the Municipal Department

Draft
SKR
28/3/05.

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

Muzrai.

Review Application Appeal No. 19 of 1904-05.

Petitioners	*Versus*	Counter-petitioner
1. Mahabala Bhatta		Rama Jois.
2. Krishna Bhatta.		

Subject.

Application for a review of Government decision No. 1114—Muz. F. 63-93, dated the 26th September 1904, upholding that of the Deputy Commissioner of the Kadur District, adjudging to the counter-petitioner the hak to perform the "Tantrika" service in the Kalaseswaraswami temple at Kalasa in the Mudgere Taluk, during the Girija Kalyana festival.

Order.

On the merits of the case, Government see no reason to reconsider the decision sought to be reviewed.

2. It would appear that in the year 1880, there was a dispute between the parties and that an agreement was entered into under which the petitioner's father gave up his interest, if any, in the Girija Kalyana Tantrika in question and was promised in its stead the Ratotsava Tantrika of Basari Katte Janardhanaswami. The petitioners contend that the latter has not yet been put in their possession by the counter-petitioner, who, on the other hand, asserts that the petitioners have had the enjoyment thereof since the date of the agreement. Which of these allegations is true and which false, is a question foreign to the present case. If the petitioners have been kept out of their rights in respect of the second of the Tantrikas above referred to, it is open to them to take such separate action for the recovery thereof as to them might seem proper. In other words, that claim cannot be advanced as a justification for the eviction of the counter-petitioner from an office which he has been found to have long enjoyed in his own right.

3. This application fails and is accordingly rejected.

No. 3780—Muz. F.-63-93, dated Bangalore, 15th April 1905.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner of the Kadur District, together with the records received with his letter No. 434, dated 15th February 1905.

Draft

Secy. to Govt., Muzrai Dept.

Exd—C.R.

1904-05

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 15th April 1905

No. 3780 / Muz. F. 63-93

Rejecting the petition of (1) Mahabala Bhatta and (2) Krishna Bhatta, praying for a review of the Government decision *re* the hak to perform the "Tantrika" service in the Sri Kalaseswaraswami temple at Kalasa in the Mudgere Taluk.

SBP—28-4-05.—200

AV

Refer

19/4/05

3878

19/4/05 Forwarded for kind perusal to the Govt of mysore Mysuru Dasara

M. M. Subramanya
For Secy GPs
19/4/05

7843
Rev

MUZRAI

Memo.

No R 10,300 91
22/4/05.

Forwarded, to the Secretary to the Governm
of H.H the Maharaja of Mysore, in the Inam
Department, for favour of disposal, in conti-
nuation of this office No. R. 9483 of 3-4-1905.

File has not yet been received in the Rec:

By Order

for Secretary.

4043

29.4.05

182
PG373
12.6.05

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
4657

Forwarded to the Secy to Govt Mysore
Munzarai Dept -
from Muhammadan
15/6/05 Home Secy

i/o 3.వ737.05 పంపాల్సిందిగా.

Encl/3 కాపీలు

కడపజిల్లాలో ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరంలో మే లోగా కల్పవల్లి చెరువు

సామర్థ్యం పెంపు పనులను యుద్ధప్రాతిపదికన పూర్తిచేయు

విధంగా చర్యలు

By order.

Dy.E.E. 21/6/05

Secretary

21/6/05

2899.

మెట్టపూతుగౌరనగర్మెంట్ముజరాయిసేవటిసాండిబరవరజ

బి

శ్రీమతియల్లు ఇరువకాంక్రిపర మల్లిశభట్టరమగ వేష్టిభట్ట ఇవర
రెమ్మ బప్పుభట్ట వేష్టిభట్ట అన్ననమగ మధాబలభట్టనడా బరవిగాం
తలచిగ. తా 25. 12. 1905.

మూత్తనరికాల్మ్ వళసరశ్రీవళసేశ్వరస్వామియవరదివస్థిన
రసిలిజారవల్వాణమడోత్సవదల్లి నావు మాడిసబేకరకాంక్రిపశేన
వన్ను వళసరామా జోయిసరెంబవరు ఆపత్కారమా డిరవిషయద్దల్లి
దరూరు డిస్ట్రిక్ట్ డిప్టిదమిషన్ సాండిబంద నమ్మరక్షణన్ను శిరస్తదిన
శిక్షామాన్నుమాడల్బట్టిదే. నంతరదల్లి నిద్దారళ్ళి అభ్యల్ అభ్యయ్యను
భరాంయిబమోంతిరద్దరింద డిప్టిదమిషన్సాండి బారవవమా డిరువశ్రీ
మాన్యరల్లి విచారసలవగిశ్రీమగడిని శ్రీవామాన్నుమాడిరువదాగియు
ఈనకాంక్రిపశేనవన్ను మాడిసుకొరువరెంమాంజోయ్యురు నిమ్మ సు
మస్తసంస్థాశిలసమాత్తుక్కిదాశింబువరదల్లి రూపవశేన్నుపోట్టకొలవర
రింద నిమ్మడక్షణన్ను అంగీకరిసువదక్కె అవకాశవిల్లవేందు వదిశిమూర
రాయిసేవట్రిసాండిబరవర ఆ వం॥ 11 క్కె మర్ను 37 గి ఇంతారస్ గ్రు
రలుబరవ్ ఈకాంక్రిపశేనవన్ను మత్తు ఇన్నుశిలవ్ర దివస్థినవకాంక్రిపశే
వ్రూరగాడప్రూవర్తల్లి వేళరిసిసామశిబరగాంరుపరవమీగళ్ళప్రూమా
రకూడశాంభట్టరవరిగినిదయపాలశిదాదిగిద్దే. అవరుపోట్టిక్రురువ
నగిరిగల్లి గమూదిరువళ్ళివారదకాంక్రిపశేన శివాయి బాడియ
న్నా దివస్థినవకాంక్రిపశేవన్ను గమ్మ అన్ననశిష్యభట్టరాంరుపశేగదు
రేకాంరు వర్గిబాంధువు బనరంపట్టియబాదర్గబేరరశ్రీకార్యవరకా
త్రిపశేవన్ను గమ్మ అన్ననశిష్యభట్టరాంగి పోట్టకొంశేనరిశావాంభో
యినరు గమ్మ అన్ననశిష్యభట్టరాంగి బారదుపోట్టిక్రురువ 18 గి ఇనవి
పిబ్రవరిశాపిత గమ్మంచా పావక్రరింద శిళంయాబురుశ్రీ అరరంశే
బనరంపట్టికాంక్రిపశేన గమ్మ అగ్రస్థవర్గి బంరిల్ల. మోరలు శ్రీస్థో
రట్టిగాల్లి విచారగశియుత్తిరువాగ ఈరదక్తావేబర్ను అగ్నిపాతో

యూగవివాదశతనుక్తా ॥
ఉర్ణాశేషశ్చక్రుడాబచ్చాకిరుబవక్త్రవ
గిరంవంకెకిళింబుకుక్షే ఉదదిందదయూళంవ్రుట్టి
దరవరు లనశమ్మరువదక్తాపేబుమళ్ళ ఇతిహారసునగ్ర
నడవరాంబరిచిడభనదగిరియాంకలాక్ష్మాగదమంబొంకే
దరాంకితదశకరుల్ గావేమాశిసువంకే యమ్మంచిహారణా
గిపొ శ్రీనుశ్రీగే

శంకితశక్తిక్ష్మాంచట్టనశయు—
రాంకితచ్ఛాగాక్షత్రవక్త్ర
కాంక్షితశ్రీయుడాబలచ్ఛట్టనేరయు—

ಸಾಲು ಸಾಲಿನಂತೆ ಮಾಡಿ

ಲಲಿತಾದ ದವನಿಸಲ್ಲಾದರಕಲಿಕಲ್ಲಿಬಾಕಿ ಕಿಂಗಿಲಿ ಮಾಲಿ ನಲಕಲ್ಲಿಕೊಂಡಿ ಅವದಾಂಗಿ
ಪ್ಲವಕಾಂ ಅಕ್ಕಿಲಕಲನಲಲ್ಲಿವರಂ ಕಲಿದಾಂ ಮಾಲಿ ನಲ್ಲಿಯುಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಂಡಿನಲಕಾಂ ಮಾಲಿ ಮಾಡಿ
ಬಾಡಾಂಕಾಲಿಕಿ ಟ್ಲುಮಾಂಡಿಕಿಲಿಂ ಡಿಕಿಲಿಂಗಿ ಟ್ಲಿಲಿಲಾಂ ಮಾಲಿ ಮಾಲಿಲಿಂಗಿ ನಲಲಕಲಿ
ನಲಿಲಿಕಲಿಂ ಕಿಲಿಲಿಂಗಿಕಾಂಕಿಲಿಕಿ ಕಲನ ಅಲಿಲಿಲಿಂಗಿಲಿಂಗಿಲಿಂಗಿ ಮಾಲಿಲಿಂಗಿಲಿಂಗಿ ಮಾಲಿಲಿಂಗಿ
ಲಲಿಲಿಂಗಿ ಅಲಿಲಿಂಗಿಲಿಂಗಿಲಿಂಗ